


# LOWER FITZROY RIVER INFRASTRUCTURE PROJECT

## Appendix P

### Surface water resources supporting material


Appendix B

MDS plots (EB1 vs RW2+EB3; theoretical yield (110,000 ML/a)




- Figure B1 MDS plot 1969 data (EB1 vs RW2+EB3; theoretical yield 110,000 ML/a)
- Figure B2 MDS plot of 1965 data (EB1 vs RW2+EB3; theoretical yield 110,000 ML/a)
- Figure B3 MDS plot of 1982 data (EB1 vs RW2+EB3; theoretical yield 110,000 ML/a)
- Figure B4 MDS plot of 1952 data (EB1 vs RW2+EB3; theoretical yield 110,000 ML/a)
- Figure B5 MDS plot of 2007 data (EB1 vs RW2+EB3; theoretical yield 110,000 ML/a)
- Figure B6 MDS plot of 1909 data (EB1 vs RW2+EB3; theoretical yield 110,000 ML/a)
- Figure B7 MDS plot of 1994 data (EB1 vs RW2+EB3; theoretical yield 110,000 ML/a)
- Figure B8 MDS plot of 1913 data (EB1 vs RW2+EB3; theoretical yield 110,000 ML/a)
- Figure B9 MDS plot of 1998 data (EB1 vs RW2+EB3; theoretical yield 110,000 ML/a)
- Figure B10 MDS plot of 1988 data (EB1 vs RW2+EB3; theoretical yield 110,000 ML/a)
- Figure B11 MDS plot of 1928 data (EB1 vs RW2+EB3; theoretical yield 110,000 ML/a)
- Figure B12 MDS plot of 1976 data (EB1 vs RW2+EB3; theoretical yield 110,000 ML/a)
- Figure B13 MDS plot of 1918 data (EB1 vs RW2+EB3; theoretical yield 110,000 ML/a)

**Figure B1 MDS plot 1969 data (EB1 vs RW2+EB3; theoretical yield 110,000 ML/a)**



Where Scenario 1 = EB1; Scenario 7 = RW2+EB3.

**Figure B2 MDS plot of 1965 data (EB1 vs RW2+EB3; theoretical yield 110,000 ML/a)**




Where Scenario 1 = EB1; Scenario 7 = RW2+EB3.

**Figure B3 MDS plot of 1982 data (EB1 vs RW2+EB3; theoretical yield 110,000 ML/a)**




Where Scenario 1 = EB1; Scenario 7 = RW2+EB3.

**Figure B4 MDS plot of 1952 data (EB1 vs RW2+EB3; theoretical yield 110,000 ML/a)**




Where Scenario 1 = EB1; Scenario 7 = RW2+EB3.

**Figure B5 MDS plot of 2007 data (EB1 vs RW2+EB3; theoretical yield 110,000 ML/a)**




Where Scenario 1 = EB1; Scenario 7 = RW2+EB3.

**Figure B6 MDS plot of 1909 data (EB1 vs RW2+EB3; theoretical yield 110,000 ML/a)**




Where Scenario 1 = EB1; Scenario 7 = RW2+EB3.

**Figure B7 MDS plot of 1994 data (EB1 vs RW2+EB3; theoretical yield 110,000 ML/a)**




Where Scenario 1 = EB1; Scenario 7 = RW2+EB3.

**Figure B8 MDS plot of 1913 data (EB1 vs RW2+EB3; theoretical yield 110,000 ML/a)**




Where Scenario 1 = EB1; Scenario 7 = RW2+EB3.

**Figure B9 MDS plot of 1998 data (EB1 vs RW2+EB3; theoretical yield 110,000 ML/a)**



Where Scenario 1 = EB1; Scenario 7 = RW2+EB3.


**Figure B10 MDS plot of 1988 data (EB1 vs RW2+EB3; theoretical yield 110,000 ML/a)**



Where Scenario 1 = EB1; Scenario 7 = RW2+EB3.




Figure B11 MDS plot of 1928 data (EB1 vs RW2+EB3; theoretical yield 110,000 ML/a)



Where Scenario 1 = EB1; Scenario 7 = RW2+EB3.


Figure B12 MDS plot of 1976 data (EB1 vs RW2+EB3; theoretical yield 110,000 ML/a)



Where Scenario 1 = EB1; Scenario 7 = RW2+EB3.



Figure B13 MDS plot of 1918 data (EB1 vs RW2+EB3; theoretical yield 110,000 ML/a)



Where Scenario 1 = EB1; Scenario 7 = RW2+EB3.